OCI 1 2 2004 W Practitioner's Docket No. MPI00-459P1RM (formerly 10147-56U1)

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re application of: | Meyers, Rachel E., et al. | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------|----------------|
| Application No.: | 10/001,851 | Group No.: | 1635 |
| Filed: | November 20, 2001 | Examiner: | Schultz, James |
| For: | 47169 AND 33935, NOVEL HUMAN GLYCOSYL TRANSFERASES AND USES THEREFOR | | |

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Sir: In response to the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment dated September 27, 2004, Applicants submit herein a revised amendment wherein the status identifier of each claim has been revised and amended if necessary in order to conform to 37 C.F.R. §1.121.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8 of this paper.

| | CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C. | F.R. SEC | FIONS 1.8(a) and 1.10* | |
|----------|---|------------|--|--|
| I hereby | certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence | e is being | | |
| • | MAIL | ING | | |
| X | deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissione Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. | | | |
| | 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.8(a) | | 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.10* | |
| X | with sufficient postage as first class mail. | | as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. | |
| | TRANSM | | 0 1 | |
| | transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. | | | |
| | | Signa | | |
| | | Se | an Hunziker | |
| Date: _ | October 5, 2004 | (type | or print name of person certifying) | |

(Page 1 of 14)

^{*}WARNING:Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. section 1.10(b). "Since the filing of correspondence under section 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.